## Appendix B from S. R. Hall, "Stoichiometrically Explicit Competition between Grazers: Species Replacement, Coexistence, and Priority Effects along Resource Supply Gradients"

(Am. Nat., vol. 164, no. 2, p. 157)

## Grazer Competition with Explicit Quota Dynamics (Modification 3)

The model system considered in the "Modification 3" section is

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = u \left( 1 - \frac{k_0}{Q} \right) \left( \frac{L}{b+L} \right) A - A \sum_j f_j G_j,$$
(B1a)

$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = v(R) - u\beta \left(1 - \frac{k_Q}{Q}\right)Q,$$
(B1b)

$$\frac{dG_j}{dt} = \min\left(e_j f_j A - r_j, e_j f_j A \frac{Q}{q_j}\right) G_j - \delta_j G_j,$$
(B1c)

where v(R) and R follow equations (16). Like in the other models, separate equilibria emerge for a single species of grazer when nutrient or carbon limited. When the grazer is nutrient limited, this single-grazer equilibrium becomes

$$Q^* = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left[ k_{\mathcal{Q}} + \left( \frac{S}{u\beta} - QA_j^* \right) \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) + \frac{q_j v}{f_j h} + C_{NL} \right] & R \le h \\ k_{\mathcal{Q}} + \frac{v}{u\beta} & R > h \end{cases},$$
(B2a)

$$A^* = QA_j^* \left(\frac{1}{Q^*}\right), \tag{B2b}$$

$$G^* = \frac{u\beta}{f_j} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{Q^*} \right), \tag{B2c}$$

where

$$C_{NL} = \left\{ 4u^2 B^2 e_j^2 f_j h k_Q q_j v + \left[ e_j f_j S v - \delta_j q_j v + u \beta e_j (f_j h k_Q - q_j v) \right]^2 \right\}^{0.5},$$
(B2)

and where  $A_j^*$  and  $QA_j^*$  represent the minimal sequestered carbon and nutrient requirements of the grazer, respectively (following eq. [10]). With a nutrient-limited grazer, the plant's nutrient uptake rate, v(R), saturates when  $R^* = h$  or when

$$S = h + QA_j^* + \frac{e_j q_j}{f_j} \left( \frac{u\beta}{u\beta k_Q + v} \right).$$
(B3)

When the grazer is carbon limited, the single-grazer equilibrium becomes

App. B from S. R. Hall, "Stoichiometry and Grazer Competition"

$$A^* = A^*_j, \tag{B4a}$$

$$G^* = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{S}{q_j} + \frac{u\beta k_Q h}{q_j v} + \frac{u\beta}{f_j} + C_{CL} \right) & R \le h \\ \frac{u\beta v}{f (u\beta k_j + v)} & R > h \end{cases},$$
(B4b)

$$Q^* = \begin{cases} \frac{e_j f_j Sv + u\beta e_j (f_j k_Q h - q_j v + C_{CL})}{2[u\beta e_j f_j h + v(\delta_j + r_j)]} & R \le h\\ k_Q + \frac{v}{u\beta} & R > h \end{cases}$$
(B4c)

where

$$C_{CL} = (e_j \{ 4u\beta k_Q q_j v [u\beta e_j f_j h + v(\delta_j + r_j)] \} + e_j [f_j v S + u\beta (f_j k_Q h - q_j v)]^2)^{0.5}.$$
 (B5)

With a carbon-limited grazer, the plant's nutrient uptake rate, v(R), becomes saturated when

$$S = h + A_j^* \left( k_Q + \frac{v}{u\beta} \right) + \frac{u\beta q_j}{f_j} \left( 1 - \frac{u\beta k_Q}{u\beta k_Q + v} \right).$$
(B6)

The resource limitation threshold becomes

$$S = QA_j^* + u\beta \left\{ k_Q \left( \frac{h}{v} + \frac{\delta_j + r_j}{\delta_j f_j} \right) - q_j \left[ \frac{\delta_j h}{v(\delta_j + r_j)} - \frac{1}{f_j} \right] \right\}.$$
 (B7)

Nutrient uptake of plants coexisting with a nutrient-limited grazer can become saturated if light supply, L, exceeds

$$L = b \left[ \frac{\delta_{j} q_{j} u}{(\delta_{j} + r_{j})v} - \frac{u}{v} k_{Q} - 1 \right]^{-1} > 0.$$
(B8)

Like in the simpler models, resource supply determines these thresholds, but a slightly more complex situation arises now. The nutrient-limited grazer can invade the ecosystem if nutrient supply (S) exceeds the grazer's minimal sequestered nutrient demands ( $QA_j^*$ ; feasibility criterion a in fig. 5A, 5B). The grazer is nutrient limited (gray region, fig. 5A, 5B) until the resource limitation threshold (eq. [B7]) is reached (at threshold b in fig. 5A, 5B). Afterward, the grazer becomes carbon limited (white region, fig. 5A, 5B). Incidentally, the example system parameterized with just  $G_1$ , and the plant,  $G_1$ , becomes carbon limited before the nutrient-limited saturation threshold (eq. [B3]) is reached; thus, that nutrient-limited saturation threshold does not apply (see fig. 5A; table 1). Once  $G_1$  becomes carbon limited, nutrient uptake rate of the plant will eventually become saturated (at eq. [B6]; threshold c in fig. 5A, 5B). In contrast, given the parameters used (table 1), nutrient uptake rate by the plant does become saturated at high light supply when  $G_2$  is nutrient limited (at threshold d in fig. 5B).

As in the previous more simple models, this version permits grazer coexistence assuming a  $QA_j^* - A_j^*$  trade-off. As long as  $R^* < h$ , the two-grazer equilibrium becomes App. B from S. R. Hall, "Stoichiometry and Grazer Competition"

$$A^* = A_1^*, \tag{B9a}$$

$$Q^* = \frac{QA_2^*}{A_1^*},$$
 (B9b)

$$R^* = \frac{u\beta h}{v} \left( \frac{QA_2^*}{A_1^*} - k_Q \right), \tag{B9c}$$

$$G_1^* = \frac{1}{f_2 q_1 - f_1 q_2} \bigg[ f_2 (S - QA_2^* - R^*) + u\beta q_2 \bigg( 1 - \frac{A_1^*}{QA_2^*} k_Q \bigg) \bigg],$$
(B9d)

$$G_2^* = \frac{1}{f_2 q_1 - f_1 q_2} \left[ -f_1 (S - QA_2^* - R^*) + u\beta q_1 \left( 1 - \frac{A_1^*}{QA_2^*} k_Q \right) \right].$$
(B9e)

Here, nutrient uptake of plants becomes saturated  $(R^* = h)$  when

$$\beta = \frac{1}{\frac{u}{v} \left( k_Q - \frac{QA_2^*}{A_1^*} \right)}.$$
 (B10)

Only a negative degree of light saturation meets this nutrient saturation threshold (because the term in parentheses in the denominator of eq. [B10] is always negative). Thus, the plant's uptake rate cannot saturate at a feasible two-grazer equilibrium.

Resource supply again delineates the major thresholds governing potential coexistence of the grazers. (Here, we assume a stable two-grazer equilibrium). At a given light supply, the superior nutrient competitor  $(G_1)$  can outcompete the superior carbon competitor  $(G_2)$  at low nutrient supply (fig. 5*C*). This grazer must become carbon limited (eq. [B7]; limitation threshold *b* in fig. 5*C*) before  $G_2$  can invade. Then,  $G_2$  invades when nutrient supply, *S*, exceeds

$$S = QA_2^* + R^* + u\beta \frac{q_1}{f_1} \left( 1 - \frac{A_1^*}{QA_2^*} k_Q \right)$$
(B11a)

(feasibility threshold c in fig. 5C) and competitively displaces  $G_1$  when S exceeds

$$S = QA_2^* + R^* + u\beta \frac{q_2}{f_2} \left( 1 - \frac{A_1^*}{QA_2^*} k_Q \right)$$
(B11b)

(feasibility threshold *d* in fig. 5*C*).  $G_2$  is again nutrient limited once it displaces the superior nutrient competitor but eventually becomes carbon limited (limitation threshold *e* in fig. 5*C*). The plant's nutrient uptake may be saturated after displacement of  $G_1$ , whether  $G_2$  is nutrient limited or carbon limited (saturation thresholds *f* and *g* in fig. 5*C*, respectively).

Stability analysis of the two-grazer equilibrium is more complex. First, substitutions  $F_1 \equiv dA/dt$ ,  $F_2 \equiv dQ/dt$ ,  $F_3 \equiv dG_1/dt$ , and  $F_4 \equiv dG_2/dt$  are made. The four-dimension Jacobian matrix (**J**) of  $F_1-F_4$  with respect to A, Q,  $G_1$ , and  $G_2$  yields

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{u\beta k_Q A^*}{Q^*} & -f_1 A^* & -f_2 A^* \\ -\frac{vQ^*}{h} & -u\beta + \frac{v}{h}A^* & -\frac{q_1 v}{h} & -\frac{q_2 v}{h} \\ e_1 f_1 G_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{e_2 f_2 G_2^* Q^*}{q_2} & \frac{e_2 f_2 A^* G_2^*}{q_2} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(B12)

## App. B from S. R. Hall, "Stoichiometry and Grazer Competition"

when evaluated at the two-grazer equilibrium. **J** has a characteristic polynomial  $\lambda^4 + A_1\lambda^3 + A_2\lambda^2 + A_3\lambda + A_4$ . The Routh-Hurwitz criteria for stability require that  $A_1 > 0$ ,  $A_3 > 0$ ,  $A_4 > 0$ , and  $A_1A_2A_3 > A_3^2 + A_1^2A_4$ . At the two-grazer equilibrium,  $A_1 > 0$  always (because  $A_1 = -J_{22}$ ). One can show that the second criterion,  $A_3 > 0$ , is always met (but the expression for it is too complex to be informative here). The third criterion,  $A_4 > 0$ , is not always met because

$$A_{4} = \frac{(A^{*})^{2} e_{1} e_{2} f_{1} f_{2}^{2} G_{1}^{*} G_{2}^{*} (q_{2} - q_{1}) Q^{*}}{h q_{2}}$$
(B13)

can be either positive (if  $q_2 > q_1$ ) or negative (if  $q_2 < q_1$ ). Here, nutrient content  $q_j$  is grazer j's impact vector on plant nutrient content. Thus, if  $G_2$  has higher nutrient content than  $G_1$ , the equilibrium is stable. If not, it is unstable (a saddle). The fourth criterion is challenging to evaluate analytically. The term  $A_2$ ,

$$A_{2} = A^{*} f_{2} \left( e_{2} f_{2} G_{2}^{*} \frac{Q^{*}}{q_{2}} + e_{1} f_{1} G_{1}^{*} \right) + A^{*} v \left[ \frac{e_{2} f_{2} G_{2}^{*}}{h} + \frac{u\beta}{h} \left( \frac{k_{Q}}{Q^{*}} \right) \right], \tag{B14}$$

is always positive, but it is difficult to determine algebraically under which circumstances  $A_1A_2A_3 > A_3^2 + A_1^2A_4$ . Therefore, the fourth criterion may place additional requirements for stability of the two-grazer equilibrium. Suffice it here to say that when evaluated numerically, the parameter set used, and slight deviations from it, meets this criterion (as long as  $q_2 > q_1$ ).